## Intro Physics Semester I

## Name:

Homework 10: Rotational Kinematics: One or two full answer questions will be marked. There will also be a mark for completeness. Homeworks are due usually the day after the chapter they are for is finished. Solutions will be posted soon thereafter. The solutions are intended to be (but not necessarily are) super-perfect and often go beyond a fully correct answer.

## Answer Table

|  | a | b | c | d | e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 2. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 3. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 4. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 5. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 6. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 7. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 8. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 9. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 10. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 11. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 12. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 13. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 14. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 15. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 16. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 17. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 18. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 19. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 20. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 21. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 22. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 23. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 24. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 25. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 26. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 27. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 28. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 29. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 30. | O | O | O | O | O |

Name:

|  | a | b | c | d | e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 32. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 33. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 34. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 35. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 36. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 37. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 38. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 39. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 40. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 41. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 42. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 43. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 44. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 45. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 46. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 47. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 48. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 49. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 50. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 51. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 52. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 53. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 54. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 55. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 56. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 57. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 58. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 59. | O | O | O | O | O |
| 60. | O | O | O | O | O |

004 qmult 00400112 easy memory: dividing a circle

1. A circle can be divided into:
a) 360 divisions only.
b) any number of divisions you like.
c) $2 \pi$ divisions only.
d) $\pi$ divisions only.
e) 360 or $2 \pi$ divisions only.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (b)

Wrong answers:
a) A nonsense answer. Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

004 qmult 00410112 easy memory: radians in a circle 1
2. How many radians are there in a circle?
a) $\pi$.
b) $2 \pi$.
c) $3 \pi$.
d) $360^{\circ}$.
e) 360 .

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (b)

## Wrong answers:

e) The trick answer.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2001jan01
004 qmult 00420151 easy thinking: 24 factors in 360
3. The division of the circle into $360^{\circ}$ was an arbitrary choice - and we don't know why. We just know the ancient Mesopotamian mathematicians and astronomers did it this way-you know Mesopotamiaancient Iraq: "the cradle of civilization". Their choice was just adopted by the ancient Greeks and got passed on to us. In the French Revolutionary epoch, the decimal system was adopted for most measures, but the revolutionaries didn't get around (you might say) to the circle. We can guess that one reasons is that the ancient Mesopotamians had a preference for whole number arithmetic particularly in division and 360 has a lot of whole number factors. How many whole number (i.e., integer) factors does 360 have counting 1 and 360 itself?
a) 24 .
b) 360 .
c) 6 .
d) 7 .
e) 12 .

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (a)

Below are the whole number factors of 360 table format:

| count | factor | complement factor |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 360 |
| 4 | 2 | 180 |
| 6 | 3 | 120 |
| 8 | 4 | 90 |
| 10 | 5 | 72 |
| 12 | 6 | 60 |
| 14 | 8 | 45 |
| 16 | 9 | 40 |
| 18 | 10 | 36 |
| 20 | 12 | 30 |
| 22 | 15 | 24 |
| 24 | 18 | 20 |

## Wrong answers:

b) A specious guess.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
004 qmult 00430134 easy math: radian to degree conversion
4. What is the approximate conversion factor from radians to degrees?
a) $1 / 60$ degrees $/$ radian.
b) $\pi$ degrees/radian.
c) $2 \pi$ degrees/radian.
d) 60 degrees/radian.
e) 360 degrees/radian.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (d)

Behold

$$
180^{\circ}=\pi, \quad \text { and so } \quad \frac{180^{\circ}}{\pi} \approx 57.2958 \approx 60 \text { degrees/radian }
$$

## Wrong answers:

a) Wrong conversion factor: this is for degrees to radians.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
004 qmult 00440155 easy thinking: the 2 pi unit ti
5. There are $2 \pi$ radians in a circle. It's rather inconvenient that this means that there are $2 \pi=6.2831853 \ldots$. radians in a circle which is an irrational number. For convenience, we could use the revolution (with sympbol Rev: vocalized rev) as a new unit: 1 Rev $=2 \pi$. One hundredth of an Rv would be a:
a) exaRev.
b) megaRev.
c) kiloRev.
d) deciRev.
e) centiRev.

SUGGESTED ANSWER: (e)
I think the idea of revolutions makes sense to me. We could then drop this non-metric degree unit and use centiRevs $\left(3.6^{\circ}\right)$ and milliRevs $\left(0.36^{\circ}\right)$ for most purposes. But no one ever listens to me.

## Wrong answers:

a) Eek, $10^{18}$ ti.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

004 qmult 00450131 easy math: hand angular measure
6. Approximately, at arm's length a finger subtends $1^{\circ}$, a fist $10^{\circ}$, and a spread hand $18^{\circ}$. These numbers, of course, vary a bit depending on person and exactly how the operation is done. What are these angles approximately in radians?
a) $1 / 60,1 / 6$, and $1 / 3$ radians.
b) 60,600 , and 1800 radians.
c) $\pi / 12, \pi / 3$, and $\pi / 2$ radians.
d) $\pi / 12, \pi / 3$, and $\pi$ radians.
e) $\pi / 12, \pi / 3$, and $2 \pi$ radians.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (a)

I've used the conversion factor ( $\pi$ radians) $/\left(180^{\circ}\right.$ approximated to ( 1 radian $/ 60^{\circ}$.

## Wrong answers:

b) This looks like a conversion from radians to degrees where one uses the approximate conversion factor 60 degrees/radian.
Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
004 qmult 00460155 easy thinking: covering the Moon
7. Can you cover the Moon with your finger held at arm's length? HINT: You could try for yourself if you are not in a a test mise en scène.
a) No. The Moon is much larger in angle than a finger. Just think how huge the Moon looks on the horizon sometimes.
b) It depends critically on the size of one's finger and arm. People with huge hands can to it and those without can't.
c) Yes. A finger at arm's length typically subtends about $10^{\circ}$ and the Moon subtends $0.01^{\circ}$.
d) No. The Moon's diameter is about 3470 km and a finger is about a centimeter or so in width.
e) Usually yes. A finger at arm's length typically subtends about $1^{\circ}$ and the Moon subtends $0.5^{\circ}$.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (e)

Wrong answers:
d) Yes, this makes sense.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
8. For small angles $\theta$ measured in radians and with increasing accuracy as $\theta$ goes to zero (where the formulas are in fact exact), one has the small angle approximations:
a) $\sin \theta \approx \cos \theta \approx 1-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2}$.
b) $\cos \theta \approx \tan \theta \approx 1-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2}$.
c) $\sin \theta \approx \cos \theta \approx \theta$.
d) $\cos \theta \approx \tan \theta \approx \theta$.
e) $\sin \theta \approx \tan \theta \approx \theta$.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (e)

The proof of these approximations follows from the Taylor expansions of sine and tangent about $\theta=0$ : i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \theta & =\sum_{n=0} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)!} \theta^{2 n+1}=\theta-\frac{1}{6} \theta^{3}+\frac{1}{120} \theta^{5}-\frac{1}{5040} \theta^{7}+\ldots \\
\tan \theta & =\theta+\frac{1}{3} \theta^{3}+\frac{2}{15} \theta^{5}+\frac{17}{315} \theta^{7}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where one can get these from

```
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tangent_function#Series_definitions .
```


## Wrong answers:

a) One has $\cos \theta \approx 1-(1 / 2) \theta^{2}$ for small angles, in fact.
b) One has $\cos \theta \approx 1-(1 / 2) \theta^{2}$ for small angles, in fact.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

011 qmult 00100144 easy deducto-memory: rotational kinematics
9. "Let's play Jeopardy! For $\$ 100$, the answer is: It is the study of the description of rotational motion or angular motion without reference to forces or torques."

What is $\qquad$ , Alex?
a) translational dynamics
b) rotational dynamics
c) translational kinematics
d) rotational kinematics
e) rotational freight

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (d)

## Wrong answers:

c) Exactly wrong.
e) A nonsense answer.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

011 qmult 00110113 easy memory: 1-d rotational kinematics
10. The rotational kinematics analog to 1-dimensional translational (or rectilinear) motion is:
a) general rotation.
b) kernal rotation.
c) rotation about a single fixed axis.
d) rotation about two axes.
e) rotation about three axes.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (c)

Wrong answers:
b) A nonsense answer, but better than colonel rotation.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00120111 easy memory: angular displacement
11. A change in angular position of a point is a/an:
a) angular displacement.
b) angular velocity.
c) angular acceleration.
d) translational velocity.
e) translational acceleration.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (a)

Wrong answers:
b) Nah.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00130112 easy memory: angular variables
12. The usual symbols for the angular kinematic variables angular displacement, angular velocity, and angular acceleration all for rotational motion around a single fixed axis are, respectively:
a) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$.
b) $\theta, \omega, \alpha$.
c) $\theta, \alpha, \omega$.
d) $\omega, \theta, \alpha$.
e) $\delta, \epsilon, \zeta$.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (b)

## Wrong answers:

a) Just the first three small Greek letters.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00140113 easy memory: angular velocity defined
13. About a single fixed axis,

$$
\omega=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta t} \quad \text { or, if you know calculus, } \quad \omega=\frac{d \theta}{d t}
$$

is the definition of:
a) angular displacement.
b) angular acceleration.
c) angular velocity.
d) angular momentum.
e) torque.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (c)

## Wrong answers:

a) Nope.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00150112 easy memory: angular acceleration defined
14. About a single fixed axis,

$$
\alpha=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta t} \quad \text { or, if you know calculus, } \quad \alpha=\frac{d \omega}{d t}
$$

is the definition of
a) angular displacement.
b) angular acceleration.
c) angular velocity.
d) angular momentum.
e) torque.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (b)

Wrong answers:
a) Nope.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00180113 easy memory: rotational and tangential variables
15. The formulas

$$
\Delta s=\Delta \theta r, \quad v=\omega r, \quad a=\alpha r
$$

(where $r$ is the radius of circular motion) relate the rotational variables to the analog:
a) units.
b) transgressional variables.
c) tangential variables.
d) angular units.
e) translational units.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (c)

## Wrong answers:

b) Translational variables are arguably correct, but not the best answer in this context, but I thought better not provoke any discussion anyway.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

011 qmult 00200142 easy deducto-memory: rotational kinematic equations
16. The rotational constant-angular-acceleration kinematic equations:
a) have no resemblance to the linear kinematic equations.
b) are exactly the same as the linear kinematic equations, except that the angular kinematic equations relate ANGULAR rather than linear variables.
c) are exactly the same as the linear kinematic equations, except that the angular kinematic equations relate LINEAR rather than angular variables.
d) do not allow for angular acceleration.
e) include torque terms.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (b)

Wrong answers:
c) Oh, c'mon.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2001jan01
011 qmult 00202114 easy memory: independent rot. kin. eq.
17. The number of ALGEBRAICALLY INDEPENDENT rotational constant-angular-acceleration equations is:
a) 5 .
b) 4 .
c) 3 .
d) 2 .
e) 1 .

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (d)

## Wrong answers:

a) In my formulation there are 5 equations, but only 2 are independent.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00232115 easy memory: timeless equation use
18. The rotational constant-angular-acceleration equation

$$
\omega^{2}=\omega_{0}^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta \theta
$$

by itself alone does NEVER allows you to solve for:
a) $\alpha$.
b) $\Delta \theta$.
c) $\omega_{0}$.
d) $\omega$.
e) $t$.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (e)

The equation is what I call the timeless equation.

## Wrong answers:

a) Given the other 3 variables that appear in the equation you can solve for this.

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00310133 easy math: find the initial omega 1
19. A wheel spins $\pi$ radians in 10.0 s with an angular acceleration of $4.00 \mathrm{radians} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. What is its final angular velocity?
a) 80.1 radians $/ \mathrm{s}$.
b) 203 radians $/ \mathrm{s}$.
c) $20.3 \mathrm{radians} / \mathrm{s}$.
d) 3.14 radians $/ \mathrm{s}$.
e) 6.28 radians $/ \mathrm{s}$.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (c)

Of the 5 standard variables $\left(\alpha, \omega_{0}, \omega, \Delta \theta, t\right)$ of the (constant-angular-acceleration) rotational kinematic equations, we don't know $\omega$ nor $\omega_{0}$. We don't want to know $\omega_{0}$. This looks like a job for the rarely used 5 th rotational constant-acceleration kinematic equation

$$
\Delta \theta=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha t^{2}+\omega t
$$

since it doesn't contain the unwanted variable $\omega_{0}$, and so allows a solution for the unknown $\omega$ from one equation. Behold:

$$
\omega=\frac{\Delta \theta+(1 / 2) \alpha t^{2}}{t}=\frac{\pi+200}{10.0}=20.3 \mathrm{radians} / \mathrm{s}
$$

## Wrong answers:

b) Forgot to divide by time.

```
Fortran-95 Code
    print*
    pi_con=acos(-1.d0)
    theta=pi_con
    t=10.d0
    alpha=4.d0
! theta=-(1/2)*alpha*t**2+omega*t ! Rarely used 5th kinematic equation.
! omega=(theta+(1/2)*alpha*t**2)/t
    omega=(theta+.5d0*alpha*t**2)/t
    print*,'omega'
    print*,omega
! 20.3141592653590
```

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01
011 qmult 00440233 mod. math: discus centripetal and tangential acceleration
20. A discus thrower (in Greek, a Diskobolos) accelerates a discus from rest through $\pi$ radians accelerating it from angular velocity 0 to angular velocity $15 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. We idealize his motion as circular with radius $r=0.81 \mathrm{~m}$. The time of the throwing motion is 0.27 s . What is the net TRANSLATIONAL acceleration (not tangential acceleration) of the discus at the end of the throwing motion just before release? Give the direction of acceleration relative to the inward radial direction.
a) $200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} ; 10^{\circ}$.
b) $13.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} ; 188^{\circ}$.
c) $188 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} ; 13.9^{\circ}$.
d) $150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} ; 15^{\circ}$.
e) $100 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} ; 50^{\circ}$.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER: (c)

Behold:

$$
\alpha=\frac{\omega-\omega_{0}}{t}, \quad a_{\mathrm{tan}}=\alpha r, \quad a_{\mathrm{cen}}=\omega^{2} r
$$

where $a_{\tan }$ is the tangential acceleration and $a_{\text {cen }}$ is the centripetal acceleration. We can then find the acceleration magnitude from Pythagorean theorem:

$$
a=\sqrt{a_{\mathrm{cen}}^{2}+a_{\mathrm{tan}}^{2}}=188 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} .
$$

The angular direction $\phi$ is given by

$$
\phi=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{\mathrm{tan}}}{a_{\mathrm{cen}}}\right)=13.9^{\circ}
$$

## Wrong answers:

a) A nonsense answer.

```
Fortran-95 Code
    print*
    omega0=0.d0
    omega=15.d0
    t=.27d0
    r=.81d0
    alpha=(omega-omega0)/t
    at=alpha*r
```

```
    ac=omega**2*r
    a=sqrt(ac**2+at**2)
    phi=atan(at/ac)*raddeg
    print*,'a,phi'
    print*,a,phi
! 187.72336695254534 13.869686438505038
```

Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

011 qfull 00330130 easy math: Waldo centrifuge, Waldo orbit
Extra keywords: just rotational kinematics.
21. Waldo's back-you know, Waldo Pepper, the Playful Pig-just accept it. This time, the Bold Boar has decided to become an astronaut and is training on NASA's giant centrifuge - the one in the film The Right Stuff. Let's guess it has a radius of 10 m . The centrifuge spins in the horizontal: i.e., the centrifuge axis is perpendicular to the ground.
a) Starting from rest the centrifuge goes into a constant angular acceleration phase for 10 s . At this point Waldo-who does indeed have a mass of 150 kg - notes that the vertical weighing scale he is nauseatingly pressed on reads 3000 N . What is the centripetal force on Waldo and what kind of force is the centripetal force?
b) The Sentient Swine now does some math-some correct math. What does Waldo find for his tangential velocity at the 10 s mark? What does he find for his angular velocity at the 10 s mark?
c) Doing a little more correct math, Waldo now finds his angular acceleration from time zero to the 10 s mark. What is this angular acceleration?
d) At this point, the Shaken Bacon does a little Gedanken experiment-just like Einstein-"what if I instantaneously left NASA's big blender and found myself 1000 KILOMETERS from the center of Pluto (formerly the 9th planet) and moving perpendicularly to the line to Pluto in free space, but with my current velocity?" What in this case would Waldo's angular velocity be about Pluto-for an instant since after that the Heck-of-a-Hog knows his orbital path will almost certainly not be circular.

## SUGGESTED ANSWER:

a) Waldo's pressing on the weighing scale causes it to read 3000 N means that the weighting scale is forcing Waldo into circular motion with a force of 3000 N . The weighing scale force is a normal force. At least it can be called that viewing it from the outside. Internally, the force is probably a spring force of some kind. Note that there must be some normal force by the floor to hold Waldo up against gravity too, but that doesn't come into the problem.
b) Well from

$$
F_{\mathrm{centripetal}}=\frac{m v^{2}}{r}
$$

Waldo finds his tangential velocity to be

$$
v=\sqrt{\frac{r F}{m}}=\sqrt{\frac{10 \times 3000}{150}}=\sqrt{200} \approx 14.142 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

and his angular velocity is

$$
\omega=\frac{v}{r} \approx 1.4142 \text { radians } / \mathrm{s} .
$$

c) Well we have the angular kinematic equation with constant acceleration

$$
\omega=\alpha t+\omega_{0}
$$

where $\omega$ is the angular velocity, $\alpha$ is the angular acceleration, $t$ is time, and $\omega_{0}$ is the initial angular velocity. Rearranging and putting in the numbers, Waldo finds

$$
\alpha=\frac{\omega-\omega_{0}}{t} \approx \frac{1.4142}{10}=0.14142 \mathrm{radians} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
$$

d) Behold:

$$
\omega=\frac{v}{r} \approx \frac{14.142}{10^{6}}=1.4142 \times 10^{-5} \text { radians } / \mathrm{s}
$$

Our porcellian friend has actually goofed. At 1000 km from Pluto's center, he is actually embedded in Pluto which has a mean radius of 1195 km (Wikipedia 2007nov07). But it's only a Gedanken experiment.

Waldo probably was thinking of 1000 km from Pluto's surface: i.e., 2195 km from Pluto's center. In this case, he would be in an orbit-probably a pretty measly orbit with an initial speed of only $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ which sounds pretty small for orbiting any significant celestial body. I bet Waldo would go into a narrow nearly parabolic orbit and crash onto Pluto. For a circular orbit, Waldo would need

$$
v=\sqrt{\frac{G M}{r}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{7 \times 10^{-11} \times 1.3 \times 10^{22}}{2.2 \times 10^{6}}} \approx 6 \times 10^{2}=600 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

(using values from Wikipedia, 2007nov07). Well that's not vastly bigger than Waldo's speed. But I still think there's no chance. He'd crash. Of course, it's all a dream: he's still orbiting in the centrifuge.
Redaction: Jeffery, 2008jan01

## Equation Sheet for Introductory Physics Calculus-Based

This equation sheet is intended for students writing tests or reviewing material. Therefore it is neither intended to be complete nor completely explicit. There are fewer symbols than variables, and so some symbols must be used for different things: context must distinguish.

The equations are mnemonic. Students are expected to understand how to interpret and use them.

## 1 Constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =2.99792458 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \approx 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \approx 3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \approx 1 \mathrm{lyr} / \mathrm{yr} \approx 1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ns} \quad \text { exact by definition } \\
e & =1.602176487(40) \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} \\
G & =6.67428(67) \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{kg}^{2} \quad(2006, \mathrm{CODATA}) \\
g & =9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \quad \text { fiducial value } \\
k & =\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}=8.987551787 \ldots \times 10^{9} \approx 8.99 \times 10^{9} \approx 10^{10} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{C}^{2} \text { exact by definition } \\
k_{\text {Boltzmann }} & =1.3806504(24) \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}=0.8617343(15) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{K} \approx 10^{-4} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{K} \\
m_{e} & =9.10938215(45) \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}=0.510998910(13) \mathrm{MeV} \\
m_{p} & =1.672621637(83) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}=938.272013(23), \mathrm{MeV} \\
\varepsilon_{0} & =\frac{1}{\mu_{0} c^{2}}=8.8541878176 \ldots \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{C}^{2} /\left(\mathrm{N} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \approx 10^{-11} \quad \text { vacuum permittivity (exact by definition) } \\
\mu_{0} & =4 \pi \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}^{2} \quad \text { exact by definition }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 Geometrical Formulae

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{\text {cir }}=2 \pi r \quad A_{\text {cir }}=\pi r^{2} \quad A_{\mathrm{sph}}=4 \pi r^{2} \quad V_{\mathrm{sph}}=\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3} \\
\Omega_{\text {sphere }}=4 \pi \quad d \Omega=\sin \theta d \theta d \phi
\end{gathered}
$$

3 Trigonometry Formulae

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{x}{r}=\cos \theta \quad \frac{y}{r}=\sin \theta \quad \frac{y}{x}=\tan \theta=\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \quad \cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta=1 \\
\csc \theta=\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \quad \sec \theta=\frac{1}{\cos \theta} \quad \cot \theta=\frac{1}{\tan \theta} \\
c^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2} \quad c=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a b \cos \theta_{c}} \quad \frac{\sin \theta_{a}}{a}=\frac{\sin \theta_{b}}{b}=\frac{\sin \theta_{c}}{c} \\
f(\theta)=f\left(\theta+360^{\circ}\right) \\
\sin \left(\theta+180^{\circ}\right)=-\sin (\theta) \quad \cos \left(\theta+180^{\circ}\right)=-\cos (\theta) \quad \tan \left(\theta+180^{\circ}\right)=\tan (\theta) \\
\sin (-\theta)=-\sin (\theta) \quad \cos (-\theta)=\cos (\theta) \quad \tan (-\theta)=-\tan (\theta)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(\theta+90^{\circ}\right)=\cos (\theta) \quad \cos \left(\theta+90^{\circ}\right)=-\sin (\theta) \quad \tan \left(\theta+90^{\circ}\right)=-\tan (\theta) \\
& \sin \left(180^{\circ}-\theta\right)=\sin (\theta) \quad \cos \left(180^{\circ}-\theta\right)=-\cos (\theta) \quad \tan \left(180^{\circ}-\theta\right)=-\tan (\theta) \\
& \sin \left(90^{\circ}-\theta\right)=\cos (\theta) \quad \cos \left(90^{\circ}-\theta\right)=\sin (\theta) \quad \tan \left(90^{\circ}-\theta\right)=\frac{1}{\tan (\theta)}=\cot (\theta) \\
& \sin (a+b)=\sin (a) \cos (b)+\cos (a) \sin (b) \quad \cos (a+b)=\cos (a) \cos (b)-\sin (a) \sin (b) \\
& \sin (2 a)=2 \sin (a) \cos (a) \quad \cos (2 a)=\cos ^{2}(a)-\sin ^{2}(a) \\
& \sin (a) \sin (b)=\frac{1}{2}[\cos (a-b)-\cos (a+b)] \quad \cos (a) \cos (b)=\frac{1}{2}[\cos (a-b)+\cos (a+b)] \\
& \sin (a) \cos (b)=\frac{1}{2}[\sin (a-b)+\sin (a+b)] \\
& \sin ^{2} \theta=\frac{1}{2}[1-\cos (2 \theta)] \quad \cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{1}{2}[1+\cos (2 \theta)] \quad \sin (a) \cos (a)=\frac{1}{2} \sin (2 a) \\
& \cos (x)-\cos (y)=-2 \sin \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \\
& \cos (x)+\cos (y)=2 \cos \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \\
& \sin (x)+\sin (y)=2 \sin \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Approximation Formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta f}{\Delta x} \approx \frac{d f}{d x} \quad \frac{1}{1-x} \approx 1+x:(x \ll 1) \\
\sin \theta \approx \theta \quad \tan \theta \approx \theta \quad \cos \theta \approx 1-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2} \quad \text { all for } \theta \ll 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 Quadratic Formula

If

$$
0=a x^{2}+b x+c, \quad \text { then } \quad x=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}=-\frac{b}{2 a} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{b}{2 a}\right)^{2}-\frac{c}{a}}
$$

## 6 Vector Formulae

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=|\vec{a}|=\sqrt{a_{x}^{2}+a_{y}^{2}} \quad \theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{y}}{a_{x}}\right)+\pi ? \quad \vec{a}+\vec{b}=\left(a_{x}+b_{x}, a_{y}+b_{y}\right) \\
a=|\vec{a}|=\sqrt{a_{x}^{2}+a_{y}^{2}+a_{z}^{2}} \quad \phi=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{y}}{a_{x}}\right)+\pi ? \quad \theta=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{a_{z}}{a}\right) \\
\vec{a}+\vec{b}=\left(a_{x}+b_{x}, a_{y}+b_{y}, a_{z}+b_{z}\right) \\
\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}=a b \cos \theta=a_{x} b_{x}+a_{y} b_{y}+a_{z} b_{z} \\
\vec{c}=\vec{a} \times \vec{b}=a b \sin (\theta) \hat{c}=\left(a_{y} b_{z}-b_{y} a_{z}, a_{z} b_{x}-b_{z} a_{x}, a_{x} b_{y}-b_{x} a_{y}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

7 Differentiation and Integration Formulae

$$
\frac{d\left(x^{p}\right)}{d x}=p x^{p-1} \quad \text { except for } p=0 ; \quad \frac{d\left(x^{0}\right)}{d x}=0 \quad \frac{d(\ln |x|)}{d x}=\frac{1}{x}
$$

Taylor's series $\quad f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n}}{n!} f^{(n)}\left(x_{0}\right)$

$$
=f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) f^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} f^{(2)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} f^{(3)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\ldots
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\left.F(x)\right|_{a} ^{b}=F(b)-F(a) \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{d F(x)}{d x}=f(x)
$$

$$
\int x^{n} d x=\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \quad \text { except for } n=-1 ; \quad \int \frac{1}{x} d x=\ln |x|
$$

## 8 One-Dimensional Kinematics

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \quad v=\frac{d x}{d t} \quad a_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t} \quad a=\frac{d v}{d t}=\frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}} \\
v=a t+v_{0} \quad x=\frac{1}{2} a t^{2}+v_{0} t+x_{0} \quad v^{2}=v_{0}^{2}+2 a\left(x-x_{0}\right) \\
x=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{0}+v\right) t+x_{0} \quad x=-\frac{1}{2} a t^{2}+v t+x_{0} \quad g=9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{\text {rel }}=x_{2}-x_{1} \quad v_{\text {rel }}=v_{2}-v_{1} \quad a_{\text {rel }}=a_{2}-a_{1} \\
x^{\prime}=x-v_{\text {frame }} t \quad v^{\prime}=v-v_{\text {frame }} \quad a^{\prime}=a
\end{array}
$$

9 Two- and Three-Dimensional Kinematics: General

$$
\vec{v}_{\text {avg }}=\frac{\Delta \vec{r}}{\Delta t} \quad \vec{v}=\frac{d \vec{r}}{d t} \quad \vec{a}_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{\Delta \vec{v}}{\Delta t} \quad \vec{a}=\frac{d \vec{v}}{d t}=\frac{d^{2} \vec{r}}{d t^{2}}
$$

## 10 Projectile Motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=v_{x, 0} t \quad y=-\frac{1}{2} g t^{2}+v_{y, 0} t+y_{0} \quad v_{x, 0}=v_{0} \cos \theta \quad v_{y, 0}=v_{0} \sin \theta \\
t=\frac{x}{v_{x, 0}}=\frac{x}{v_{0} \cos \theta} \quad y=y_{0}+x \tan \theta-\frac{x^{2} g}{2 v_{0}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} \\
x_{\text {for } y \max }=\frac{v_{0}^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta}{g} \quad y_{\text {max }}=y_{0}+\frac{v_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}{2 g} \\
x\left(y=y_{0}\right)=\frac{2 v_{0}^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta}{g}=\frac{v_{0}^{2} \sin (2 \theta)}{g} \quad \theta_{\text {for } \max }=\frac{\pi}{4} \quad x_{\max }\left(y=y_{0}\right)=\frac{v_{0}^{2}}{g} \\
x(\theta=0)= \pm v_{0} \sqrt{\frac{2\left(y_{0}-y\right)}{g}} \quad t(\theta=0)=\sqrt{\frac{2\left(y_{0}-y\right)}{g}}
\end{gathered}
$$

11 Relative Motion

$$
\vec{r}=\vec{r}_{2}-\vec{r}_{1} \quad \vec{v}=\vec{v}_{2}-\vec{v}_{1} \quad \vec{a}=\vec{a}_{2}-\vec{a}_{1}
$$

12 Polar Coordinate Motion and Uniform Circular Motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega=\frac{d \theta}{d t} \quad \alpha=\frac{d \omega}{d t}=\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d t^{2}} \\
\vec{r}=r \hat{r} \quad \vec{v}=\frac{d \vec{r}}{d t}=\frac{d r}{d t} \hat{r}+r \omega \hat{\theta} \quad \vec{a}=\frac{d^{2} \vec{r}}{d t^{2}}=\left(\frac{d^{2} r}{d t^{2}}-r \omega^{2}\right) \hat{r}+\left(r \alpha+2 \frac{d r}{d t} \omega\right) \hat{\theta} \\
\vec{v}=r \omega \hat{\theta} \quad v=r \omega \quad a_{\tan }=r \alpha \\
\vec{a}_{\text {centripetal }}=-\frac{v^{2}}{r} \hat{r}=-r \omega^{2} \hat{r} \quad a_{\text {centripetal }}=\frac{v^{2}}{r}=r \omega^{2}=v \omega
\end{gathered}
$$

13 Very Basic Newtonian Physics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{r}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} \vec{r}_{i}}{m_{\mathrm{total}}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{sub}} m_{\mathrm{sub}} \vec{r}_{\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{sub}}}{m_{\text {total }}} \quad \vec{v}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} \vec{v}_{i}}{m_{\text {total }}} \quad \vec{a}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} \vec{a}_{i}}{m_{\text {total }}} \\
& \vec{r}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\frac{\int_{V} \rho(\vec{r}) \vec{r} d V}{m_{\text {total }}} \\
& \vec{F}_{\text {net }}=m \vec{a} \quad \vec{F}_{21}=-\vec{F}_{12} \quad F_{g}=m g \quad g=9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \\
& \vec{F}_{\text {normal }}=-\vec{F}_{\text {applied }} \quad F_{\text {linear }}=-k x \\
& f_{\text {normal }}=\frac{T}{r} \quad T=T_{0}-F_{\text {parallel }}(s) \quad T=T_{0} \\
& F_{\mathrm{f} \text { static }}=\min \left(F_{\text {applied }}, F_{\mathrm{f} \text { static max }}\right) \quad F_{\mathrm{f} \text { static max }}=\mu_{\text {static }} F_{\mathrm{N}} \quad F_{\mathrm{f} \text { kinetic }}=\mu_{\text {kinetic }} F_{\mathrm{N}} \\
& v_{\text {tangential }}=r \omega=r \frac{d \theta}{d t} \quad a_{\text {tangential }}=r \alpha=r \frac{d \omega}{d t}=r \frac{d^{2} \theta}{d t^{2}} \\
& \vec{a}_{\text {centripetal }}=-\frac{v^{2}}{r} \hat{r} \quad \vec{F}_{\text {centripetal }}=-m \frac{v^{2}}{r} \hat{r} \\
& F_{\mathrm{drag}, l \mathrm{lin}}=b v \quad v_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{m g}{b} \quad \tau=\frac{v_{\mathrm{T}}}{g}=\frac{m}{b} \quad v=v_{\mathrm{T}}\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right) \\
& F_{\text {drag,quad }}=b v^{2}=\frac{1}{2} C \rho A v^{2} \quad v_{\mathrm{T}}=\sqrt{\frac{m g}{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 14 Energy and Work

$$
\begin{gathered}
d W=\vec{F} \cdot d \vec{s} \quad W=\int \vec{F} \cdot d \vec{s} \quad K E=\frac{1}{2} m v^{2} \quad E_{\text {mechanical }}=K E+P E \\
P_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{\Delta W}{\Delta t} \quad P=\frac{d W}{d t} \quad P=\vec{F} \cdot \vec{v}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Delta K E=W_{\text {net }} \quad \Delta P E_{\text {of a conservative force }}=-W_{\text {by a conservative force }} \quad \Delta E=W_{\text {nonconservative }}$

$$
F=-\frac{d P E}{d x} \quad \vec{F}=-\nabla P E \quad P E=\frac{1}{2} k x^{2} \quad P E=m g y
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{F}_{\mathrm{net}}=m \vec{a}_{\mathrm{cm}} \quad \Delta K E_{\mathrm{cm}}=W_{\mathrm{net}, \text { external }} \quad \Delta E_{\mathrm{cm}}=W_{\mathrm{not}} \\
\vec{p}=m \vec{v} \quad \vec{F}_{\mathrm{net}}=\frac{d \vec{p}}{d t} \quad \vec{F}_{\mathrm{net}}=\frac{d \vec{p}_{\mathrm{total}}}{d t} \\
m \vec{a}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\vec{F}_{\mathrm{net} \text { non-flux }}+\left(\vec{v}_{\mathrm{flux}}-\vec{v}_{\mathrm{cm}}\right) \frac{d m}{d t}=\vec{F}_{\text {net non-flux }}+\vec{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \frac{d m}{d t} \\
v=v_{0}+v_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \left(\frac{m_{0}}{m}\right) \quad \text { rocket in free space }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 16 Collisions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{I}=\int_{\Delta t} \vec{F}(t) d t \quad \vec{F}_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{\vec{I}}{\Delta t} \quad \Delta p=\vec{I}_{\mathrm{net}} \\
\vec{p}_{1 i}+\vec{p}_{2 i}=\vec{p}_{1 f}+\vec{p}_{2 f} \quad \vec{v}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\frac{\vec{p}_{1}+\vec{p}_{2}}{m_{\text {total }}} \\
K E_{\text {total } f}=K E_{\text {total } i} \quad \text { 1-d Elastic Collision Expression } \\
v_{1^{\prime}}=\frac{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) v_{1}+2 m_{2} v_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \quad \text { 1-d Elastic Collision Expression } \\
v_{2^{\prime}}-v_{1^{\prime}}=-\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right) \quad v_{\mathrm{rel}}{ }^{\prime}=-v_{\mathrm{rel}} \quad \text { 1-d Elastic Collision Expressions }
\end{gathered}
$$

17 Rotational Kinematics

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \pi=6.2831853 \ldots \quad \frac{1}{2 \pi}=0.15915494 \ldots \\
\frac{180^{\circ}}{\pi}=57.295779 \ldots \approx 60^{\circ} \quad \frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}=0.017453292 \ldots \approx \frac{1}{60^{\circ}} \\
\theta=\frac{s}{r} \quad \omega=\frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{v}{r} \quad \alpha=\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d t^{2}}=\frac{d \omega}{d t}=\frac{a}{r} \quad f=\frac{\omega}{2 \pi} \quad P=\frac{1}{f}=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega} \\
\omega=\alpha t+\omega_{0} \quad \Delta \theta=\frac{1}{2} \alpha t^{2}+\omega_{0} t \quad \omega^{2}=\omega_{0}^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta \theta \\
\Delta \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{0}+\omega\right) t \quad \Delta \theta=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha t^{2}+\omega t
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{L}=\vec{r} \times \vec{p} \quad \vec{\tau}=\vec{r} \times \vec{F} \quad \vec{\tau}_{\mathrm{net}}=\frac{d \vec{L}}{d t} \\
L_{z}=R P_{x y} \sin \gamma_{L} \quad \tau_{z}=R F_{x y} \sin \gamma_{\tau} \quad L_{z}=I \omega \quad \tau_{z, \text { net }}=I \alpha \\
I=\sum_{i} m_{i} R_{i}^{2} \quad I=\int R^{2} \rho d V \quad I_{\mathrm{parallel} \text { axis }}=I_{\mathrm{cm}}+m R_{\mathrm{cm}}^{2} \quad I_{z}=I_{x}+I_{y} \\
I_{\mathrm{cyl} 1, \text { shell,thin }}=M R^{2} \quad I_{\mathrm{cyl}}=\frac{1}{2} M R^{2} \quad I_{\mathrm{cyl}, \text { shell,thick }}=\frac{1}{2} M\left(R_{1}^{2}+R_{2}^{2}\right) \\
I_{\mathrm{rod}, \text { thin }, \mathrm{cm}}=\frac{1}{12} M L^{2} \quad I_{\mathrm{sph}, \text { solid }}=\frac{2}{5} M R^{2} \quad I_{\text {sph }, \text { shell,thin }}=\frac{2}{3} M R^{2} \\
a=\frac{g \sin \theta}{1+I /\left(m r^{2}\right)} \quad \\
K E_{\mathrm{rot}}=\frac{1}{2} I \omega^{2} \quad d W=\tau_{z} d \theta \quad P=\frac{d W}{d t}=\tau_{z} \omega \\
\Delta K E_{\mathrm{rot}}=W_{\text {net }}=\int \tau_{z, \text { net }} d \theta \quad \Delta P E_{\mathrm{rot}}=-W=-\int \tau_{z, \text { con }} d \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Delta E_{\mathrm{rot}}=K E_{\mathrm{rot}}+\Delta P E_{\mathrm{rot}}=W_{\mathrm{non}, \mathrm{rot}} \quad \Delta E=\Delta K E+K E_{\mathrm{rot}}+\Delta P E=W_{\mathrm{non}}+W_{\mathrm{rot}}
$$

19 Static Equilibrium

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{F}_{\text {ext }, \text { net }}=0 \quad \vec{\tau}_{\text {ext,net }}=0 \quad \vec{\tau}_{\text {ext,net }}=\tau_{\text {ext,net }}^{\prime} \quad \text { if } F_{\text {ext,net }}=0 \\
& 0=F_{\text {net } x}=\sum F_{x} \quad 0=F_{\text {net } y}=\sum F_{y} \quad 0=\tau_{\text {net }}=\sum \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

