

## 0.1 Lecture IV

### 0.1.1 Particle in 1 D

We now look at a true Hilbert space, whose dimension is non-denumerable and infinite. A particle of mass  $m$  is constrained to move in one dimension, whose position coordinate is  $x$ . We denote the position operator  $\hat{x}$  and define its eigenstates

$$\hat{x}|x\rangle = x|x\rangle \quad (1)$$

Note that  $x$  is no longer discrete since a measurement with device  $\hat{x}$  can produce any value in the domain of real numbers. Thus the basis  $|x\rangle$  span an infinite vector space. If this basis is complete we can express a quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$ ,

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_x \Psi(x)|x\rangle \quad (2)$$

in analogy with the expansion over a complete discrete basis

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_n \Psi_n |n\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Psi_n$  is the probability amplitude to find the system in state  $|n\rangle$ . However the sum  $\sum_x$  is undefined since  $x$  is non-denumerable. We substitute  $\sum_x \rightarrow \int dx$  i.e. the sum becomes an integral. The probability to find the system in the region  $x, x + dx$  is given by

$$dx|\Psi(x)|^2 \quad (4)$$

In analogy with the discrete case,  $\Psi(x)$  is called the probability amplitude at point  $x$ , it is a function of a continuous variable  $x$ . For the discrete case, the amplitude is given by the relation  $\Psi_n = \langle n|\Psi\rangle$ , so we require (assuming  $|x\rangle$  are orthogonal)

$$\Psi(x) = \langle x|\Psi\rangle \quad (5)$$

Inserting this relation above we get

$$|\Psi\rangle = \int dx \langle x|\Psi\rangle |x\rangle \quad (6)$$

Lets take the inner product of the above relation with ket  $|x' \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x' | \Psi \rangle &= \int dx \langle x | \Psi \rangle \langle x' | x \rangle \\ \Psi(x') &= \int dx \Psi(x) \langle x' | x \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We know that the Dirac delta function (see appendix in text) has the property

$$\int dx \delta(x - a) f(x) = f(a) \quad (8)$$

where  $f(x)$  is any arbitrary function of  $x$ . Comparing this relation with the equation derived above we require

$$\langle x | x' \rangle = \delta(x - x'). \quad (9)$$

In matrix representation theory we pointed out that a set of amplitudes  $\langle n | \Psi \rangle$ , representing the probability to find the system in state  $|n \rangle$ , can be written as a column matrix

$$|\Psi \rangle \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1 | \Psi \rangle \\ \langle 2 | \Psi \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \langle n | \Psi \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

We can arrange the amplitudes  $\Psi(x)$  in the same way,

$$|\Psi \rangle \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \langle x | \Psi \rangle \\ \langle x' | \Psi \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \langle x'' | \Psi \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

We require that  $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$ . Using the completeness relation

$$\int dx |x \rangle \langle x| = I \quad (12)$$

we obtain

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \int dx \langle \Psi | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi \rangle = \int dx \Psi^*(x) \Psi(x) = 1 \quad (13)$$

### 0.1.2 Momentum in 1 D

We denote the momentum operator  $\hat{p}$  so that

$$\hat{p}|p\rangle = p|p\rangle \quad (14)$$

$|p\rangle$  also represent a complete basis,

$$\langle p|p'\rangle = \delta(p - p') \quad (15)$$

and we can define an amplitude  $\Psi(p)$  so that

$$|\Psi\rangle = \int dp|p\rangle \langle p|\Psi\rangle = \int dp|p\rangle \Psi(p) \quad (16)$$

The operator  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{p}$  are incompatible since

$$[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] = -i\hbar I. \quad (17)$$

Let us find the matrix representation of operator  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\langle x'|\hat{x}|x\rangle$ . This is the analog of the matrix element  $x_{mn} = \langle m|\hat{x}|n\rangle$  for a discrete basis. We find

$$\langle x'|\hat{x}|x\rangle = \langle x'|x\rangle x = x\delta(x - x') \quad (18)$$

we notice that the matrix is "diagonal". How about  $\langle p'|\hat{x}|p\rangle$ ? We note

$$\langle x'|\hat{x}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{x}|x\rangle = (x' - x) \langle x'|\hat{p}|x\rangle \quad (19)$$

but  $[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] = -i\hbar I$  and so

$$(x' - x) \langle x'|\hat{p}|x\rangle = i\hbar \langle x'|I|x\rangle = i\hbar\delta(x - x') \quad (20)$$

we need to "solve" the above equation for  $\langle x'|\hat{p}|x\rangle$  we make the "guess"

$$\langle x'|\hat{p}|x\rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x' - x) \quad (21)$$

We justify this guess by inserting this relation into the Eq. above and find,

$$-i\hbar(x' - x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x' - x) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} [(x' - x)\delta(x' - x)] + i\hbar\delta(x - x') \quad (22)$$

the first term is a total derivative, and if we integrate it we obtain a term proportional to  $(x - x')\delta(x - x') = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x'|\hat{x}|x\rangle &= x\delta(x' - x) \\ \langle x'|\hat{p}|x\rangle &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x' - x) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

**HW** Find the representation of  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  in the momentum basis.

## Uncertainty principle

We took note that position  $\hat{x}$  and momentum  $\hat{p}$  are incompatible operators. Given that the system is in state  $|\Psi\rangle$  and that independent measurements lead to expectation values

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x \rangle &= \langle \Psi | \hat{x} | \Psi \rangle \\ \langle p \rangle &= \langle \Psi | \hat{p} | \Psi \rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

we now discuss a relationship between the variance  $\Delta x^2 \equiv \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2$  and  $\Delta p^2 \equiv \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{p} \rangle^2$ . We define operators

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \hat{x} &\equiv \hat{x} - \langle \hat{x} \rangle \\ \Delta \hat{p} &\equiv \hat{p} - \langle \hat{p} \rangle\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

given state  $|\Psi\rangle$  we can define new states

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \hat{x} |\Psi\rangle \\ \Delta \hat{p} |\Psi\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

since these states must satisfy the Schwarz inequality we get

$$\langle \Delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle \langle \Delta \hat{p}^2 \rangle \geq |\langle \Delta \hat{x} \Delta \hat{p} \rangle|^2\tag{27}$$

but

$$\Delta \hat{x} \Delta \hat{p} = 1/2[\Delta \hat{x}, \Delta \hat{p}] + 1/2\{\Delta \hat{x}, \Delta \hat{p}\}_+\tag{28}$$

where  $\{A, B\}_+ \equiv AB + BA$ . Now  $[\Delta \hat{x}, \Delta \hat{p}] = [\hat{x}, \hat{p}]$  and so

$$\langle \Delta \hat{x} \Delta \hat{p} \rangle = 1/2 \langle [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \rangle + 1/2 \langle \{\Delta \hat{x}, \Delta \hat{p}\}_+ \rangle\tag{29}$$

The operator  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]$  is antihermitian since  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]^\dagger = -[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]$  and one can show that the expectation value for an antihermitian operator is always a pure imaginary number, whereas the expectation value of the hermitian operator  $\{\Delta \hat{x}, \Delta \hat{p}\}_+$  is always real. Thus

$$|\langle \Delta \hat{x} \Delta \hat{p} \rangle|^2 = 1/4 |\langle [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \rangle|^2 + 1/4 |\langle \{\Delta \hat{x}, \Delta \hat{p}\}_+ \rangle|^2\tag{30}$$

It follows that

$$\langle \Delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle \langle \Delta \hat{p}^2 \rangle \geq 1/4 |\langle [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \rangle|^2\tag{31}$$

We note that  $\langle \Delta \hat{x}^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta x^2 \rangle$ , and  $\langle \Delta \hat{p}^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta p^2 \rangle$  and so we obtain, using the commutator  $[\hat{p}, \hat{q}] = -i\hbar I$ ,

$$\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle \langle \Delta p^2 \rangle \geq \hbar^2/4\tag{32}$$

### 0.1.3 Schroedinger equation

We consider the time-evolution equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial |\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = H |\Psi\rangle$$

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}) \quad (33)$$

Take the inner product of this equation with ket  $|x\rangle$ , then the left hand side becomes

$$i\hbar \langle x | \frac{\partial |\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} \rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle}{\partial t} = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t} \quad (34)$$

Consider the the r.h.s.

$$\langle x | \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}) | \Psi(t) \rangle \quad (35)$$

First,

$$\langle x | V(\hat{x}) | \Psi(t) \rangle = V(x) \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle = V(x) \Psi(x, t) \quad (36)$$

(can you prove the above relation?). Also

$$\langle x | \hat{p}^2 | \Psi(t) \rangle = \int dx' \int dx'' \langle x | \hat{p} | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{p} | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | \Psi(t) \rangle \quad (37)$$

but using a previous result, the double integral can be re-written

$$\int dx' \int dx'' (-i\hbar) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta(x - x') (-i\hbar) \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \delta(x' - x'') \Psi(x'', t) \quad (38)$$

which, when integrated, gives

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi(x, t) \quad (39)$$

Thus we find the Schroedinger Eqn.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi(x, t) + V(x) \Psi(x, t) \quad (40)$$

## Momentum-coordinate transformation functions

Lets express an eigenstate of momentum  $|p\rangle$  in terms of the coordinate basis  $|x\rangle$

$$|p\rangle = \int dx |x\rangle \langle x|p\rangle \quad (41)$$

$\langle x|p\rangle$  is a probability amplitude. If the system is in state  $|p\rangle$ , it gives the probability to find it at position  $x$ , when a measurement with device  $\hat{x}$  is made. Consider the identity,

$$\langle x|\hat{p}|p\rangle = p \langle x|p\rangle \quad (42)$$

but this can be re-written

$$\int dx' \langle x|\hat{p}|x'\rangle \langle x'|p\rangle = p \langle x|p\rangle \quad (43)$$

or

$$\int dx' (-i\hbar) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta(x-x') \langle x'|p\rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle x|p\rangle \quad (44)$$

Thus

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial x} = p\Phi(x) \quad (45)$$

where we defined  $\Phi(x) \equiv \langle x|p\rangle$ . We can consider this equation as a differential equation for the function  $\Phi(x)$ , whose solution is

$$\langle x|p\rangle = C \exp(ipx/\hbar) \quad (46)$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

We can find the constant  $C$  by requiring,

$$\langle p|p'\rangle = \delta(p-p') \quad (47)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p|p'\rangle &= \int dx \langle p|x\rangle \langle x|p'\rangle = \int dx |C|^2 \exp(-i(p-p')x/\hbar) \\ &= |C|^2 2\hbar\pi \delta(p-p') \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where we have used the representation for the delta function,

$$\int dx \exp(i(p - p')x) = 2\pi\delta(p - p') \quad (49)$$

Thus

$$\Phi(x) \equiv \langle x|p \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\pi}} \exp(ipx/\hbar). \quad (50)$$

Note that

$$\int dx |\Phi(x)|^2 = \infty \quad (51)$$

Functions that are non-normalizable are called improper functions, typically physical states are represented by normalizable functions, so that

$$\int dx |\Psi(x)|^2 = 1. \quad (52)$$

By the Fourier theorem we can always represent a physical normalized function  $\Psi(x)$  in terms of improper functions, e.g.

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\pi}} \int \Psi(p, t) \exp(ipx/\hbar) \quad (53)$$

A normalized probability amplitude  $\Psi(x, t)$  is also called a wavepacket.